Διάλεξη #1 - Security Fundamentals

Εθνικό και Καποδιστριακό Πανεπιστήμιο Αθηνών

Εισαγωγή στην Ασφάλεια

Θανάσης Αυγερινός

(Security Mindset)





Huge thank you to <u>David Brumley</u> from Carnegie Mellon University for the guidance and content input while developing this class



Ανακοινώσεις / Διευκρινίσεις

- Ομαδική εργασία; Μία, αλλά δεν έχουμε αποφασίσει τα μεγέθη των ομάδων
- Άρα δεν επιτρέπεται να κάνουμε scanf("%s", buffer);

Την Προηγούμενη Φορά

- 1. Διαδικαστικά
- 2. Σκοπός του μαθήματος
- 3. Ασφάλεια και Συστήματα
- 4. Σχέδιο για το μάθημα φέτος
- 5. Το πρώτο μας exploit



Σήμερα

- Security Fundamentals
 - \circ Adversaries
 - Threat Models
 - Security Properties
 - Trusted Computing Base (TCB)
 - Security Principles



Two Concepts (Only a few I need you to memorize)

Defining The Adversary (1/2)

- Adversary = < Goals, Capabilities >
- Goal: What constitutes success?
 - May involve subgoals
 - Example goal: Gain control of X's data
 - Sub-goal: Reconnaissance: search online for info about X
 - Sub-goal: Access: Guess X's ssh password on Linux lab
 - » Sub-goal: Lateral movement: Use ssh account to move to other services / linked accounts
- Capabilities: What resources can the adversary use?
 - 1 computer or millions?
 - Physical or remote access?
 - Access to source code?

Why don't we include adversary's strategy?

Defining The Adversary (1/2)

• Adversary = < Goals, Capabilities >



Security Mechanism Classification for a property (2/2)

- **1. Prevention**. Prevent issues from happening. Any precautionary measures.
- **2.** Detection. Assuming an incident took place, detect them as early and as accurately as possible.
- **3. Resilience**. Assuming one or multiple incidents took place, ensure the overall system security degrades gracefully and does not collapse.
- **4. Deterrence**. Measures to ensure penalties for actors responsible for security incidents. Policy-based.



Basic Adversary Metrics

Partial Orders

Implication (A0 \rightarrow A1):

AO's goals and capabilities are a superset of A1's

<u>Separation (A1 → A0)</u>:

A1's goals and/or capabilities are not a superset of AO's

Strict Dominance $A0 > A1 = A0 \rightarrow A1 \land A1 \nrightarrow A0$ Equivalence: $A0 \leftrightarrow A1 = A0 \rightarrow A1 \land A1 \rightarrow A0$ Incomparable: $A0 \# A1 = A0 \nrightarrow A1 \land A1 \nrightarrow A0$

Example Adversary Comparison

Adversary A0

- Goal: Modify the my-studies website
- Capabilities
 - View the website
 - Send data to the website
 - Modify local browser state
 - Access a normal user account on my-studies
 - Invoke system calls on my-studies.uoa.gr

Adversary A1

- Goal: Modify the my-studies website
- Capabilities
 - View the website
 - Send data to the website
 - Modify local browser state
 - Access a normal user account on my-studies
 - Invoke system calls on my-studies.uoa.gr

 $AO \rightarrow A1 \land A1 \Rightarrow AO$ hence AO > A1, i.e., AO strictly dominates A1

Threat Models

Threat Modeling

Threat modeling is a process by which potential threats, such as <u>structural</u> <u>vulnerabilities</u> or the absence of appropriate safeguards, can be identified and enumerated, and countermeasures prioritized.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Threat_model

https://www.threatmodelingmanifesto.org/

Threat Model Includes

- Assets
 - What are you protecting?
 - Which matter most?
- System goals (functionality, security)
- Adversary definition key characteristic
 - Risk assessment we are in the insurance business!
 - Risk justifies the cost

Systematic Threat Modeling

- Diagram-based
- Attack trees
- Checklists
- STRIDE
- MITRE ATT&CK



4 Key Security Properties

(1) Confidentiality == Secrecy == Concealing information



(2) Integrity == Prevention of unauthorized changes



(3) Authenticity == Data and actions attributed to correct person



(4) Availability == Ability to use resources when needed



Trusted Computing Base (TCB)



https://xkcd.com/2347/

Why Should I Trust This?

What does this application rely on for its security?



Trusted Computing Base (TCB)

- Component X's TCB is all other components that must operate securely for X to be secure
- Corollary 1: If TCB is secure, X has a chance of being secure
- Corollary 2: If TCB misbehaves, no guarantees about X's security!
- Trusted != Trustworthy

Example of TCB





What is the TCB here?



Ideal TCB Design

- Verifiable
 - Implies you want TCB to be as small as possible
 - (even when not verifiable, smaller = less buggy)
- Tamper proof
 - E.g., must prevent messing with the SSHD or OS executables

Why Do We Care About a TCB?

- Securing every piece of a system is hard!
- Identifying the TCB allows us to separate a system into a part that **must** be trusted and a part that **doesn't have to** be
- Can focus security efforts on the trusted piece
 - Reason about security more rigorously
- Caveat: Determining TCB is easier said than done

Example: Operating system kernel

Monolithic Kernel based Operating System Microkernel based Operating System



Participation Question

Which of the following is **NOT** in the TCB of a web browser on your laptop?

- A. The laptop's OS
- B. JavaScript the browser downloads when you visit a website
- C. The laptop's hardware
- D. The browser's cryptographic library

Designing Secure Systems

The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
- Work factor/economics

See the reading for more useful principles



Keep It Simple, Stupid (KISS)

- Rule of thumb:
 - 1-5 defects per 1K lines of code
- Windows 10 = 50M LOC; Linux 6.7 = 27M LOC
 - In both cases, essentially all in the TCB
- Smaller, simpler TCB is easier to reason about
 - e.g.: seL4 (a formally verified microkernel) = 89k LOC

The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
 Mork factor/acconomics
- Work factor/economics



Fail-safe Defaults (Fail Closed)



The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
 Mork factor/acconomics
- Work factor/economics



No Security by Obscurity

- Common fallacy: System is more secure if the design remains secret
- Keeping designs secret is hard!
 - Someone has to build/implement it
 - Users interact with it
 - The design may be sold to lots of people
- Finding flaws in your own design is hard!



The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
 Mork factor/acconomics
- Work factor/economics



Complete Mediation

- Every access to every object is checked by the reference monitor
- Easier said than done!
- TOCTTOU problems



Mediation: TOCTTOU Vulnerabilities

Time-Of-Check-To-Time-Of-Use

```
int openfile(char *path){
  struct stat s;
  if (stat(path, \&s) < 0))
    return -1;
                                     Change path
  if (!S_ISREG(s.st_mode)){
    error("only regular files allowed");
    return -1;
  }
  return open(path, 0_RDONLY)
```

Mediation: TOCTTOU Vulnerabilities

Time-Of-Check-To-Time-Of-Use

void withdraw(int w){
 b = getbalance();
 if (b<w)
 error("not enough \$\$");
 Buy
 b = b-w;
 send(w)
}</pre>

The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
 Mark factor/acconomics
- Work factor/economics



Least Privilege

A user or entity should only have access to the specific data, resources and applications needed to complete a required task and nothing more.



The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
 Mork factor/acconomics
- Work factor/economics



Separation of Duty (SoD)

Having more than one person required to complete a task!



The Key Principles

- Economy of mechanism a.k.a. KISS
- Fail-safe defaults
- Don't rely on security by obscurity
- Complete mediation
- Least privilege
- Separation of duty
- Defense in depth
- Factor in users/acceptance/psychology
 Mork factor/acconomics
- Work factor/economics



Defense in Depth

- Few defensive measures are perfect
- Plan for failures
- Beware risk compensation!





Defence-in-depth layers

Participation Question

Systems based on ACLs (like UNIX) typically deny access entirely if a subject is not listed on the relevant ACL. This is an example of which principle?

- A. Fail-safe defaults
- B. Complete mediation
- C. Separation of duty
- D. Defense in depth

I Followed All Security Principles - Am I Secure?



Ευχαριστώ και καλή μέρα εύχομαι!

Keep hacking!